Treatment Planning for Skull Base Tumors PTCOG 52, June 2013

Judy Adams CMD Hanne Kooy Ph.D, Norbert Liebsch MD Department of Radiation Oncology Massachusetts General Hospital



DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE



Chordomas and Chondrosarcomas Prescription and Constraints Dose in Gy(RBE)

• Chondrosarcomas

Rx: GTV - 70; CTV - 50

• Chordomas

Rx: GTV - 72 to 78; CTV - 50

• Constraints:

Optic structures – 60 to 62 Brainstem/spinal cord – center 53 to 55 surface 64 to 67 (or max dose) Cochlea – <60 (unless tumor abutts)

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL $C = R C = R T = R^{m}$

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL

S BRIGHAM AND Women's Hospital

Planning considerations

- CTV & GTV
 - Size, shape, and location
- Beam Arrangement
 - Paired beams
 - Matching
 - Patching
- Rx dose versus constraints
 - Penumbra considerations
 - When to design aperture 'off' critical structures



DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

S BRIGHAM AND Women's Hospital

GENERAL HOSPITAL

Planning Basics for SOBP



- Lateral coverage by aperture edge
- Lateral penumbra
- Targeting uncertainty
 - (<3mm, mostly random)



 Distal coverage by compensator



S BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S HOSPITAL

- Sharper distal penumbra
- Range uncertainty
 (3.5% of range,
 mostly systematic) *Must move around*

GENERAL HOSPITAL

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL C = R C = R C

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

🕖 DANA-FARBER

Staying 'Off' Critical Structures

Aperture edge is more reliable Rule of thumb for dose gradient for ranges up to 16 cm:

1mm = 10% of dose across 20-80%





 $\begin{array}{c} \text{MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL} \\ C \text{ANCER} C \text{ENTER}^{**} \end{array}$

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL DANA-FARBER



MGH Standard Approach

Photon component to 20 Gy IMRT or 3D conformal

CTV/GTV beam arrangement to 60 Gy Paired split dose fields: PA – 14 Gy R (RL, RAO, RP)) – 8 Gy L (LL, LAO, LP)) – 8 Gy SAO – 10 Gy (aperture to GTV, RC to CTV)

GTV to 70Gy (chondrosarcoma) and 72-78Gy (chordomas) 'off critical structures' split-dose fields, matching fields or patch combos



DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL

S BRIGHAM AND Women's Hospital

Field Arrangement – pairing fields

4 FLD composite

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL $C = R C = N T = R^{-1}$

SA+LL

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE

RL+PA

27

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL DANA-FARBER

Matching Technique

- Not unique to proton therapy
- Changing target volume geometry ightarrow
- Target volume(s) segmented into separate ulletvolumes (commonly superior and inferior components)
- Fields abutt



DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE





Field Patching

•Patching is a hierarchical sequence of proton fields.

- "THROUGH" Field A: Achieved distal conformation to TV with the Range Compensator.
- PATCH Field B: Achieve matching of distal edge of B with the Range Compensator at the lateral (50%) field edge of A
- PATCH Field C: Match at 50% isodose, lateral + distal, levels







DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL



Patch Technique





Multiple (2 or 3) patch combinations usually required
move around hot and cold regions (hot at patchline, cold triangle at aperture intersections)



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL $C = R C = R T = R^{m}$

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE



Patch Combinations



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL $C = R C = N T = R^{-1}$

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL DANA-FARBER

Case 1: Chondrosarcoma (proton dose in Gy (RBE))

Right petroclival junction tumor

Rx: GTV - 70 Gy; CTV - 50 Gy(CTV received 20Gy with IMRT, 5 flds)

Constraints: BS, SC - 55/67 Gy, center/surface Cochlea <60 Gy

Proton field arrangement and dose: CTV: PA – 14 Gy, R40A – 8 Gy, L30A – 8 Gy GTV: S15A – 10 Gy, R30P – 5 Gy, L30A – 5 Gy



DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE







Scan with contrast important for target and critical structures

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCERCENTER

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL

DANA-FARBER

RAO paired with SAO, PA paired with LAO



GTV (boost) fields 70% to brainstem surface



DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE

DANA-FARBER

S BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S HOSPITAL

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL $C = R C = R T = R^{m}$



Case 2: Chordoma (proton dose in Gy (RBE)) Small upper clival tumor

Rx: *GTV - 72 Gy; CTV - 50 Gy
(CTV - 20Gy, 3 field, 3D photons)
*76 to 78 Gy not achievable due to tumor size and location

Constraints: BS, SC – 55/67 Gy, center/surface Cochlea <60 Gy

Proton field arrangement and dose: CTV: PA – 10 Gy, R20A – 9 Gy, L20A – 9 Gy GTV: S10A – 10 Gy, R15S – 6 Gy, L15I – 6 Gy

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL $C = R C = N T = R^{m}$

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL $C a n c e r C e n t e r^{m}$

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL

DANA-FARBER

Combinations of RAO, LAO, PA, SAO - 2 fields per day



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL C A N C E R C E N T E R[®]

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL DANA-FARBER



RSO + LIO to undercut optic structures, 60% to brainstem surface





DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL



Composite to 72Gy(RBE)



Target coverage driven by chiasm and brainstem surface constriant



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL **C** a n c e r **C** e n t e r**

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL DANA-FARBER

S BRIGHAM AND

WOMEN'S HOSPITAL

Case 3: Chordoma (proton dose in Gy (RBE))

Large lower clival tumor Rx: GTV – 78 Gy; CTV – 48 Gy (CTV – 20Gy, 5 field IMRT)

> Constraints: BS, SC – 53/64 Gy, center/surface Cochlea <60 Gy Parotids – as low as possible

Proton field arrangement and dose: CTV: PA – 10 Gy, R20A – 9 Gy, L20A – 9 Gy GTV: R85S20A – 8 Gy L10A thru + RT PA,LT PA patch combo - 12 Gy R25A thru + R55P patch combo – 10 Gy



DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

S BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S HOSPITAL

GENERAL HOSPITAL



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL $C a n c e r C e n t e r^{*}$

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE



DANA-FARBER

Combinations of RAO, LAO, PA, SAO - 2 fields per day



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCERCENTER

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL DANA-FARBER



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL C A N C E R C E N T E R^m

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE







MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL C A N C E R C E N T E R

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE





Composite to 78Gy(RBE)



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCERCENTER[®]

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL



PBS for Skull Base

- Same beam arrangements
 - SOBP fields are proven in terms of geometric and dosimetric accuracies
 - Uncertainties EXCLUDE use of distal range for dose shaping
- PBS optimization for "patch" equivalents offers efficiencies
 - Partly because patching is not well supported in any TPS!
- Apertures may still offer benefit in penumbra (R<10 cm) and edge certainty vis-à-vis critical structure
- Management of uncertainties in range and setup
 - Inherent in SOBP for range and setup
 - PBS doesn't provide (yet) the proper set of tools
 - ... and will require significant computational support

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL $C \cap C \cap C \cap C \cap T \cap C$

DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL

Multi-Criteria Optimization

- Large # of spots means
 - Constraint-based optimization *only* will not yield clinically "best" plan
 - Opportunity for healthy tissue dose trade-off analysis greater compared to IMRT
- MCO vs "One-Plan Only"
 - Minimal set of absolute constraints
 - D(GTV) > 50 Gy(RBE)
 - Specify competing objectives
 - "minimize max brainstem dose" vs "maximize min GTV dose"









The need for MCO in robust planning

Balanced plan

Robust Target dose

Robust OAR sparing



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL DANA-FARBER

BRIGHAM AND

WOMEN'S HOSPITAL

PBS - MCO



Simultaneous optimization Astroid – 10 min

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER^{**}

Absolute Constraints

Constraints type dose (Gy (RBE)) structure Objectives dose (Gy (RBE)) structure objective MIN MAX MIN UNDERDOSE 78 MIN MAX MIN UNDERDOSE 50 BRAINSTEM MIN MEAL MIN MAX

MIN MAX

MIN MEAL

T COCHI FA B RT PAROTID.BF

RT PAROTID BE

T PAROTID BE

ŀ

8	1(2	e-0		biec	tives
					639	
		L				
					81.8	
			-			
					83.9	
	-					
					•	
	-				64.2 < 70.1	
					70.1	
	-				23.1 < 32.3	
					32.3	
					60.9 < 63.9	
	51.1				63.9	
	-		SPINALCORD		36.1 < 42.9	
					42.9	
				MAX	40.5 < 40.5	
					27.9 4 41.1	
					41.1	
					350 - 34.5	
					41.3	
			RT PAROTID.BE		49.8 < 60.1	
	19.4			100000	60.1	
	-		RT PAROTID.BF			
	2.9				27.5	
					68.1	



Energy Layer 141 MeV 136 MeV 132 MeV 126 MeV

Astroid Dana-Farber/Partners CancerCare

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITA GENERAL HOSPITAL

SA

🕖 DANA-FARBER



SOBP plan as treated

PBS plan – 7mm spot size



MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL C a n c e r C e n t e r^m



DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL



Summary

- p for skull base tumors was the first modality to prove that ulletprecision and dose escalation can cure disease
- SOBP dosimetry outperforms IMRT
 - Albeit at significant effort
 - Poor TPS support necessitates manual operations
- PBS may not always improve dosimetry but does improve ulletplanning efficiency
 - Use same SOBP field arrangements
 - MCO greatly improves treatment plan
 - Robustness must be managed



DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCERCARE









DANA-FARBER / PARTNERS CANCER CARE

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL

