



## **Instrumentation for Verification of Dose**

#### S. Vatnitsky MedAustron GmbH, Wiener Neustadt, Austria

Presented to: Educational Workshop PTCOG 52 Essen, Germany, May 3 – 5, 2013



Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

Absorbed dose determination in reference conditions for light ion beams

Faraday Cup

#### MedAustron MedAustron



Calorimeter

Lack of national and international dosimetry standards

Thimble air-filled ionization chamber



Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

# Protocols/COP for proton and heavier ion beam dosimetry



AAPM REPORT NO. 16



Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

#### N<sub>D,w</sub> - based formalism: IAEA TRS-398/ICRU 78

#### MedAustron M

#### $D_w(z_{ref})$ at <u>any</u> user quality Q (photons, electrons, protons, heavier ions)



Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

#### N<sub>D,w</sub> - based formalism: IAEA TRS-398/ICRU 78

N<sub>D,w</sub> approach would ultimately lead to a dosimetry system, where the dose applied to a patient is traceable to the dosimetry standards of the national PSDL.

$$=> Q_o = {}^{60}Co$$







Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

# Stopping powers



- Basic proton stopping powers from ICRU 49
- Calculation using MC code PETRA following Spencer-Attix cavity theory
- Transport included
  secondary electrons
  and nuclear inelastic process





A constant value of  $s_{w,air} = 1.13$  adopted in TRS 398 (ignores fragments)



Instrumentation for verification of dose: S. Vatnitsky

# Compilation of published data *I<sub>water</sub>* MedAustron<sup>M</sup>



Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

#### Calorimetry-based determination of W-values MedAustron



Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

#### Transportable graphite calorimeters

### MedAustron MedAustron





#### Palmans et al 2004

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

NIRS - carbon ions



Sakama et al 2008





#### Transportable water calorimeters



PTB





Protons	Calorimetry Gy/MU	Ionometry Gy/MU	Difference %		Calorimetry Gy/MU	Ionometry Gy/MU	Difference %
Scattering Scanning	9.087*10 <sup>-3</sup> 1.198*10 <sup>-3</sup>	9.118*10 <sup>-3</sup> 1.203*10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.34 0.42	Protons 182 MeV	2.95±0.04 2.77 ± 0.05	2.97±0.09 2.69 ± 0.08	+0.7 - 3.0
S	Sarfehnia et al.,	2010		C <sup>12</sup> 430 MeV/u	Brede	et al., 2006	

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky



Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

Influence of a change in<br/>the  $I_{water}$  and  $I_{graphite}$  valuesMedAustron<br/>MedAustronon basic dosimetry data and  $k_Q$  values<br/>(results presented by P. Andreo at ESTRO 2013)

- Decrease of 0.6% in  $S_{w,air}$  for Co-60
- Decrease of 0.4% in  $S_{w,air}$  for protons and heavier ions
- Net change in  $W_{air,protons}$  increase of 0.6% i.e.

 $W_{air,protons} = 34.44 \text{ eV} (current value 34.23 eV)$ 

#### **CONCLUSION:** the net effect of all the changes

#### leaves current calculated kQ values unaltered

# Recombination corrections for protons and heavier ions

## MedAustron M

#### Protons and heavier lon beams:

- Pulsed (passive) or pulsed scanned (active) beams,
- no continuous beams !



TECHNICAL REPORTS SERIES No. 398

Absorbed Dose Determination in External Beam Radiotherapy An International Code of Practice for Dosimetry Based on Standards of Absorbed Dose to Water

sored by the IAEA, WHO, PAHO and ESTRO

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, VIENNA, 2000

Two-voltage method

 $k_s = a_o + a_1 \left(\frac{M_1}{M_2}\right) + a_2 \left(\frac{M_1}{M_2}\right)^{-1}$ 

Not all beams are pulsed for determination of recombination



 $1/M = 1/M_{\infty} + b/V$ General recombination

# Recombination corrections for proton beams – ICRU 78

**Synchrotrons** (Repetition < 0.5 Hz, Acceleration 0.5 – 1s)





**Cyclotrons** (small pulses, high repetition, high dose per pulse)

- dose per pulse (0.2 Gy)
- pulse length 400µs
- maximum transit time for the ionization chamber 152 µs (300 V) and 76 µs (600 V)
   Lorin et al, 2008

ong time  $k_s = \frac{(V_N / V_L)^2 - 1}{(V_N / V_L)^2 - (M_N / M_L)}$ Ion collection time of ion chamber shorter compared to pulse duration

#### Scanned continuous beam

The user should verify recombination corrections against independent method

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

continuous beam

Effective pulse duration is long

of ion chamber

compared to ion collection time

16

#### **Reference calibration:** reference conditions



**Passive** Scattering protons, carbon ions



Calibration at SOBP







(Pedroni *et al*)

Calibration Protons spot scanning at SOBP Carbon ions Calibration spot scanning at plateau

Plateau versus SOBP:

**Reference conditions are facility specific** 

- superposition of beams with different intensities
- not continuous and reproducible
- mix of particles with high and low LET
- fluence corrections are small

17

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

#### Proton spot scanning calibration



Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

Instrumentation for verification of dose: S. Vatnitsky

Essen PTCOG 52 Educational workshop

# Standard uncertainties in D<sub>w</sub> (TRS 398, ICRU 78)





## Dosimetry in non-reference conditions



**Relative dose** measurements require no detector calibration other than verification of linearity of response within assumed dynamic range of measurement conditions

#### Dosimetry tasks

- Routine daily clinical physics activity
- Beam line commissioning
- Collecting data for TPS
- Periodic QA Beam characteristics
- ✓ Depth dose
- ✓ Lateral profiles
- Output factors

20

# Detectors for measurements in non-reference conditions MedAustron



Passive detectors:

Destructive – TLD Non-destructive – Films, alanine



Probe accumulates the dose during irradiation. The value of dose is obtained after irradiation with read-out device

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

### Characterization of small proton beams





#### The user should carefully select detectors depending on beam size

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

Essen PTCOG 52 Educational workshop

22

### Characterization of scanned proton pencil beams

#### MedAustron M







Depth dose distribution

**Dose profiles** 

(a)

z = 0 cm

= 50 cm

0.9r

0.8

0.7

(b)

z = 0 cm

z = 50 cm

=100 cm

2

23

0 1





0.9

0.8

0.7

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky



Multi-detector systems for characterization and QA of proton and carbon beams





Cirio *et al.* 2004

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

Essen PTCOG 52 Educational workshop

24

# 2-D dosimetry: fluorescent screen and CCD camera

# MedAustron M



Boon et al 2000



Courtesy of J. Heese



**Courtesy CMS** 



E. Pedroni et al. 2005





#### Rosenthal et al. 2004

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

## 2-D dosimetry: TLD



Olko et al. 2004



#### **Radiochromic film**



Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

#### Radiochromic films and gels for characterization of clinical proton beams



Instrumentation for verification of dose: S. Vatnitsky

20 25 30

## MedAustron M



Heufelder et al, 2003 D = 2 Gy



Essen PTCOG 52 Educational workshop

27

#### Additional reading



WAGING IN MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS AND THERAPY

#### Practical Implementation of Light Ion Beam Treatments

Michael Farley Moyers Stanislav M. Vatnitsky PROTON THERAPY PHYSICS

Sents in Mitocau Person and Besenteen Entrataine



CRC Press



Edited by C.-M. Charlie Ma Tany Lomax

CRC Pers

### Conclusion





harmonize clinical dosimetry at proton and heavier ion beam facilities

provide a level of accuracy <u>comparable to that</u> <u>in calibration of photon</u> <u>and electron beams</u>

Instrumentation for verification of dose S. Vatnitsky

#### Acknowledgements

• Members of ICRU/IAEA Committee Report 78



- O. Jäkel and colleagues at HIT
- E. Pedroni and colleagues at PSI

